



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,987	07/02/2001	Philip E. Risser	S1015/20005	9887

3000 7590 07/02/2002

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.
12TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER
1635 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212

EXAMINER

WERNER, FRANK E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3652

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

RECEIVED
JUL 08 2002
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

5709/86 987

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

RECEIVED
JUL 08 2002

CAESAR RIVISE, BERNSTEIN
COHEN & POKOTILOV, LTD.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

4

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Stein (3) _____
(2) Mr. Werner (4) _____

Date of Interview July 2, 2002

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: at least base claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: the art of record.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Indiew of the Examiner's suggestion of the amendment to claim 1 the following would be substituted and claim 1 would be allowed in a formally filed amendment: In claim 1, line 15 after "hangers", - and an elongated bridge member adapted to have the load coupled there to for suspending the load over the floor, said elongated bridge member being connected between said third and fourth tracks - would be inserted. The dependent claims would be reviewed in light of the above change and additional dependent claim(s) would be submitted for allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 1-96)

FRANK E. WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GPO: 2002-3652